

# EVERY FLAT SURFACE IS BIRKHOFF AND OSCELEDETS GENERIC IN ALMOST EVERY DIRECTION

JON CHAIKA AND ALEX ESKIN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Flat surfaces and strata.** Suppose  $g \geq 1$ , and let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a partition of  $2g - 2$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$  be a stratum of Abelian differentials, i.e. the space of pairs  $(M, \omega)$  where  $M$  is a Riemann surface and  $\omega$  is a holomorphic 1-form on  $M$  whose zeroes have multiplicities  $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ . The form  $\omega$  defines a canonical flat metric on  $M$  with conical singularities at the zeros of  $\omega$ . Thus we refer to points of  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$  as *flat surfaces* or *translation surfaces*. For an introduction to this subject, see the survey [Zo].

**Affine measures and manifolds.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$  denote the subset of surfaces of (flat) area 1. An affine invariant manifold is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha)$  which is invariant under the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  action and which in *period coordinates* (see [Zo, Chapter 3]) looks like an affine subspace. Each affine invariant manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is the support of an ergodic  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  invariant probability measure  $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Locally, in period coordinates, this measure is (up to normalization) the restriction of Lebesgue measure to the subspace  $\mathcal{M}$ , see [EM] for the precise definitions. It is proved in [EMM] that the closure of any  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  orbit is an affine invariant manifold.

The most important case of an affine invariant manifold is a connected component a stratum  $\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha)$ . In this case, the associated affine measure is called the Masur-Veech or Lebesgue measure [Mas], [Ve].

**The Teichmüller geodesic flow.** Let

$$g_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \quad r_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The element  $r_\theta \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts by  $(M, \omega) \rightarrow (M, e^{i\theta}\omega)$ . This has the effect of rotating the flat surface by the angle  $\theta$ . The action of  $g_t$  is called the *Teichmüller geodesic flow*. The orbits of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  are called *Teichmüller disks*.

**A variant of the Birkhoff ergodic theorem.** We use the notation  $C_c(X)$  to denote the space of continuous compactly supported functions on a space  $X$ .

One of our main results is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{H}_1(\alpha)$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \overline{SL(2, \mathbb{R})x}$  be the smallest affine invariant manifold containing  $x$ . Then, for any  $\phi \in C_c(\mathcal{H}_1(\alpha))$ , for almost all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(g_t r_\theta x) dt = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi d\nu_{\mathcal{M}},$$

where  $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the affine measure whose support is  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Remark.* The fact that (1.1) holds for almost all  $x$  with respect to the Masur-Veech measure is an immediate consequence of the Birkhoff ergodic theorem and the ergodicity of the Teichmüller geodesic flow [Mas], [Ve]. The main point of Theorem 1.1 is that it gives a statement for every flat surface  $x$ . This is important e.g. for applications to billiards in rational polygons (since the set of flat surfaces one obtains from unfolding rational polygons has Masur-Veech measure 0).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Fix  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . For almost every  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , if  $\nu_\theta$  is any weak-star limit point (as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ) of  $\eta_{T, \theta} * \delta_x$ , then  $\nu_\theta$  is invariant under  $P$ , where  $P = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .*

The proof of Proposition 1.2 is based on the strong law of large numbers. In fact, Proposition 1.2 holds for arbitrary measure-preserving  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  actions.

In addition to Proposition 1.2, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the results of [EM] and [EMM]. One complication is controlling the visits to neighborhoods of smaller affine submanifolds, which we do using the techniques of [EMM], [A], [EMa] and which were originally introduced by Margulis in [EMaMo].

In addition to Theorem 1.1 we prove an analogous version of the Osceledets multiplicative ergodic theorem for the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle, which has application e.g. to the wind-tree model. This proof requires additional ingredients, in particular a result of Filip [Fi].

## REFERENCES

- [A] J. Athreya. “Quantitative recurrence and large deviations for Teichmüller geodesic flow.” *Geom. Dedicata* **119** (2006).
- [EMa] A. Eskin, H. Masur. “Asymptotic formulas on flat surfaces.” *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **21** (2) (2001), 443–478.
- [EMaMo] A. Eskin, G. Margulis, and S. Mozes. “Upper bounds and asymptotics in a quantitative version of the Oppenheim conjecture.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* **147** (1998), no. 1, 93–141.
- [EM] A. Eskin, M. Mirzakhani. “Invariant and stationary measures for the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  action on moduli space.” [arXiv:1302.3320 \[math.DS\]](#) (2013).
- [EMM] A. Eskin, M. Mirzakhani, A. Mohammadi. “Isolation, equidistribution and orbit closures for the  $SL(2\mathbb{R})$  action on moduli space.” [arXiv:1305.3015\[math.DS\]](#) (2013).
- [Fi] S. Filip. “Semisimplicity and rigidity of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle.” [arXiv:1307.7314\[math.DS\]](#) (2013).

- [Mas] H. Masur. Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **115** (1982), no. 1, 169–200.
- [Ve] W. Veech, Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps, *Ann. of Math.*, **15** (1982), 201–242.
- [Zo] A. Zorich, A. Flat Surfaces. *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry. I. Berlin: Springer, 2006.* 437–583.