

Geometric methods in representation theory of supergroups

Vera Serganova
University of California, Berkeley

MPIM Bonn, March 10, 2022

Support variety

Let \mathcal{C} be some abelian (or triangulated) tensor category. For every object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ we want to associate a geometric object (projective variety) X_M satisfying

- $X_{M \oplus N} = X_M \cup X_N$;
- $X_{M \otimes N} = X_M \cap X_N$;
- $X_{M^*} = X_M$;
- M is projective iff $X_M = \emptyset$.

Examples:

- Representations of finite groups in positive characteristic.
- Representations of restricted Lie algebras.
- Finite (super)group schemes.
- Balmer spectrum of triangulated tensor categories.

Let \mathcal{C} be some abelian (or triangulated) tensor category. For every object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ we want to associate a geometric object (projective variety) X_M satisfying

- $X_{M \oplus N} = X_M \cup X_N$;
- $X_{M \otimes N} = X_M \cap X_N$;
- $X_{M^*} = X_M$;
- M is projective iff $X_M = \emptyset$.

Examples:

- Representations of finite groups in positive characteristic.
- Representations of restricted Lie algebras.
- Finite (super)group schemes.
- Balmer spectrum of triangulated tensor categories.

Approaches.

- 1 Homology: $X_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{Spec Ext}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, $X_M = \text{Spec Ext}^{\bullet}(M, M)$.
- 2 Rank variety: maps of elementary objects $\pi : \mathbf{k}[t]/(t^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[G]$ for finite groups, p -nilpotent elements for restricted Lie algebras. Check when restriction is not projective.
- 3 Rank variety and homological support coincide.

Goal: Generalize to supergroups.

Approaches.

- 1 Homology: $X_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{Spec Ext}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$, $X_M = \text{Spec Ext}^{\bullet}(M, M)$.
- 2 Rank variety: maps of elementary objects $\pi : \mathbf{k}[t]/(t^p) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[G]$ for finite groups, p -nilpotent elements for restricted Lie algebras. Check when restriction is not projective.
- 3 Rank variety and homological support coincide.

Goal: Generalize to supergroups.

Quasireductive algebraic supergroups

Now we switch to the ground field \mathbb{C} . The following three objects are the same (categories are equivalent):

- Affine algebraic supergroup G .
- Pair (\mathfrak{g}, G_0) where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra, G_0 an algebraic group with Lie $G_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, representation of G_0 in \mathfrak{g} whose differential is the adjoint representation.
- Commutative finitely generated Hopf superalgebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

By $\underline{\text{Rep}}G$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional representations of G and by $\overline{\text{Rep}}G$ the category of all representations.

We call G reductive if $\text{Rep } G$ is semisimple (any finite-dimensional representation is completely reducible).

Theorem

Every reductive supergroup G is isomorphic to a direct product of $OSp(1|2n)$ (for different n) and some reductive algebraic group.

Quasireductive algebraic supergroups

Now we switch to the ground field \mathbb{C} . The following three objects are the same (categories are equivalent):

- Affine algebraic supergroup G .
- Pair (\mathfrak{g}, G_0) where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra, G_0 an algebraic group with Lie $G_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, representation of G_0 in \mathfrak{g} whose differential is the adjoint representation.
- Commutative finitely generated Hopf superalgebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

By $\underline{\text{Rep}}G$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional representations of G and by $\overline{\text{Rep}}G$ the category of all representations.

We call G reductive if $\text{Rep } G$ is semisimple (any finite-dimensional representation is completely reducible).

Theorem

Every reductive supergroup G is isomorphic to a direct product of $OSp(1|2n)$ (for different n) and some reductive algebraic group.

Quasireductive algebraic supergroups

Now we switch to the ground field \mathbb{C} . The following three objects are the same (categories are equivalent):

- Affine algebraic supergroup G .
- Pair (\mathfrak{g}, G_0) where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra, G_0 an algebraic group with Lie $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, representation of G_0 in \mathfrak{g} whose differential is the adjoint representation.
- Commutative finitely generated Hopf superalgebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

By $\underline{\text{Rep}} G$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional representations of G and by $\overline{\text{Rep}} G$ the category of all representations.

We call G reductive if $\text{Rep } G$ is semisimple (any finite-dimensional representation is completely reducible).

Theorem

Every reductive supergroup G is isomorphic to a direct product of $OSp(1|2n)$ (for different n) and some reductive algebraic group.

Quasireductive algebraic supergroups

Now we switch to the ground field \mathbb{C} . The following three objects are the same (categories are equivalent):

- Affine algebraic supergroup G .
- Pair (\mathfrak{g}, G_0) where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra, G_0 an algebraic group with Lie $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$, representation of G_0 in \mathfrak{g} whose differential is the adjoint representation.
- Commutative finitely generated Hopf superalgebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

By $\underline{\text{Rep}} G$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional representations of G and by $\overline{\text{Rep}} G$ the category of all representations.

We call G reductive if $\text{Rep } G$ is semisimple (any finite-dimensional representation is completely reducible).

Theorem

Every reductive supergroup G is isomorphic to a direct product of $O\text{Sp}(1|2n)$ (for different n) and some reductive algebraic group.

We call G quasireductive if G_0 is reductive.

$\text{Rep } G$ has many remarkable properties:

- **Frobenius category.** Enough projective and injective objects, every projective is injective and vice versa.
- $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G M$ is finite-dimensional if M is finite-dimensional.
- If $K \subset G$ is quasireductive then G/K is **affine**.
- If $K \subset G$ are both quasireductive then $\text{Ind}_K^G : \overline{\text{Rep}} K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rep}} G$ is exact maps projective modules to projective modules.

A lot of similarities with finite groups in positive characteristic.

Examples. $GL(m|n)$, $Q(n)$.

Homological approach. [Boe, Kujawa, Nakano.]

- $\text{Ext}^*(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^{G_0}]$ is a Noetherian (supercommutative) ring.
- Polynomial algebra in most classical examples.

We call G quasireductive if G_0 is reductive.

$\text{Rep } G$ has many remarkable properties:

- **Frobenius category.** Enough projective and injective objects, every projective is injective and vice versa.
- $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G M$ is finite-dimensional if M is finite-dimensional.
- If $K \subset G$ is quasireductive then G/K is **affine**.
- If $K \subset G$ are both quasireductive then $\text{Ind}_K^G : \overline{\text{Rep}} K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rep}} G$ is exact maps projective modules to projective modules.

A lot of similarities with finite groups in positive characteristic.

Examples. $GL(m|n)$, $Q(n)$.

Homological approach. [Boe, Kujawa, Nakano.]

- $\text{Ext}^*(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^{G_0}]$ is a Noetherian (supercommutative) ring.
- Polynomial algebra in most classical examples.

We call G quasireductive if G_0 is reductive.

$\text{Rep } G$ has many remarkable properties:

- **Frobenius category.** Enough projective and injective objects, every projective is injective and vice versa.
- $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G M$ is finite-dimensional if M is finite-dimensional.
- If $K \subset G$ is quasireductive then G/K is **affine**.
- If $K \subset G$ are both quasireductive then $\text{Ind}_K^G : \overline{\text{Rep}}K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rep}}G$ is exact maps projective modules to projective modules.

A lot of similarities with finite groups in positive characteristic.

Examples. $GL(m|n)$, $Q(n)$.

Homological approach. [Boe, Kujawa, Nakano.]

- $\text{Ext}^*(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^{G_0}]$ is a Noetherian (supercommutative) ring.
- Polynomial algebra in most classical examples.

We call G quasireductive if G_0 is reductive.

$\text{Rep } G$ has many remarkable properties:

- **Frobenius category.** Enough projective and injective objects, every projective is injective and vice versa.
- $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G M$ is finite-dimensional if M is finite-dimensional.
- If $K \subset G$ is quasireductive then G/K is **affine**.
- If $K \subset G$ are both quasireductive then $\text{Ind}_K^G : \overline{\text{Rep}}K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rep}}G$ is exact maps projective modules to projective modules.

A lot of similarities with finite groups in positive characteristic.

Examples. $GL(m|n)$, $Q(n)$.

Homological approach. [Boe, Kujawa, Nakano.]

- $\text{Ext}^*(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^{G_0}]$ is a Noetherian (supercommutative) ring.
- Polynomial algebra in most classical examples.

We call G quasireductive if G_0 is reductive.

$\text{Rep } G$ has many remarkable properties:

- **Frobenius category.** Enough projective and injective objects, every projective is injective and vice versa.
- $\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G M$ is finite-dimensional if M is finite-dimensional.
- If $K \subset G$ is quasireductive then G/K is **affine**.
- If $K \subset G$ are both quasireductive then $\text{Ind}_K^G : \overline{\text{Rep}}K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rep}}G$ is exact maps projective modules to projective modules.

A lot of similarities with finite groups in positive characteristic.

Examples. $GL(m|n)$, $Q(n)$.

Homological approach.[Boe, Kujawa, Nakano.]

- $\text{Ext}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^{G_0}]$ is a Noetherian (supercommutative) ring.
- Polynomial algebra in most classical examples.

Rank variety

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ **semisimple** if $[x, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. By Q_x we denote the quasireductive subgroup of G generated by x . Denote by \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} the set of all odd semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

A functor $S_x : \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ is the composition

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Rep } Q_x \xrightarrow{S} \text{Vect},$$

where S is the semisimplification functor. Equivalently,

$$S_x M := \text{Ker } x_M / (xM \cap \text{Ker } x_M).$$

S_x is a symmetric monoidal functor although it is not exact!

Let M be a G -module. We define the support \mathcal{X}_M as

$$\mathcal{X}_M = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} \mid S_x M \neq 0\}.$$

Immediate: $\mathcal{X}_{M \otimes N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cap \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M \oplus N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cup \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M^*} = \mathcal{X}_M$. Need: projectivity detection.

Although \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} and \mathcal{X}_M are not closed in \mathfrak{g}_1 , we should pass to the quotient \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0 using G_0 -equivariance.

Rank variety

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ **semisimple** if $[x, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. By Q_x we denote the quasireductive subgroup of G generated by x . Denote by \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} the set of all odd semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

A functor $S_x : \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Svect}$ as the composition

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Rep } Q_x \xrightarrow{S} \text{Svect},$$

where S is the semisimplification functor. Equivalently,

$$S_x M := \text{Ker } x_M / (xM \cap \text{Ker } x_M).$$

S_x is a symmetric monoidal functor although it is not exact!

Let M be a G -module. We define the support \mathcal{X}_M as

$$\mathcal{X}_M = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} \mid S_x M \neq 0\}.$$

Immediate: $\mathcal{X}_{M \otimes N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cap \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M \oplus N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cup \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M^*} = \mathcal{X}_M$. Need: projectivity detection.

Although \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} and \mathcal{X}_M are not closed in \mathfrak{g}_1 , we should pass to the quotient \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0 using G_0 -equivariance.

Rank variety

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ **semisimple** if $[x, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. By Q_x we denote the quasireductive subgroup of G generated by x . Denote by \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} the set of all odd semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

A functor $S_x : \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Svect}$ as the composition

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{Res} \text{Rep } Q_x \xrightarrow{S} \text{Svect},$$

where S is the semisimplification functor. Equivalently,

$$S_x M := \text{Ker } x_M / (xM \cap \text{Ker } x_M).$$

S_x is a symmetric monoidal functor although it is not exact!

Let M be a G -module. We define the support \mathcal{X}_M as

$$\mathcal{X}_M = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} \mid S_x M \neq 0\}.$$

Immediate: $\mathcal{X}_{M \otimes N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cap \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M \oplus N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cup \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M^*} = \mathcal{X}_M$. Need: projectivity detection.

Although \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} and \mathcal{X}_M are not closed in \mathfrak{g}_1 , we should pass to the quotient \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0 using G_0 -equivariance.

Rank variety

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ **semisimple** if $[x, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. By Q_x we denote the quasireductive subgroup of G generated by x . Denote by \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} the set of all odd semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

A functor $S_x : \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Svect}$ as the composition

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Rep } Q_x \xrightarrow{S} \text{Svect},$$

where S is the semisimplification functor. Equivalently,

$$S_x M := \text{Ker } x_M / (xM \cap \text{Ker } x_M).$$

S_x is a symmetric monoidal functor although it is not exact!

Let M be a G -module. We define the support \mathcal{X}_M as

$$\mathcal{X}_M = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} \mid S_x M \neq 0\}.$$

Immediate: $\mathcal{X}_{M \otimes N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cap \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M \oplus N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cup \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M^*} = \mathcal{X}_M$. Need: projectivity detection.

Although \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} and \mathcal{X}_M are not closed in \mathfrak{g}_1 , we should pass to the quotient \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0 using G_0 -equivariance.

Rank variety

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ **semisimple** if $[x, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. By Q_x we denote the quasireductive subgroup of G generated by x . Denote by \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} the set of all odd semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

A functor $S_x : \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Svect}$ as the composition

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Rep } Q_x \xrightarrow{S} \text{Svect},$$

where S is the semisimplification functor. Equivalently,

$$S_x M := \text{Ker } x_M / (xM \cap \text{Ker } x_M).$$

S_x is a symmetric monoidal functor although it is not exact!

Let M be a G -module. We define the support \mathcal{X}_M as

$$\mathcal{X}_M = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} \mid S_x M \neq 0\}.$$

Immediate: $\mathcal{X}_{M \otimes N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cap \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M \oplus N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cup \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M^*} = \mathcal{X}_M$. Need: projectivity detection.

Although \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} and \mathcal{X}_M are not closed in \mathfrak{g}_1 , we should pass to the quotient \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0 using G_0 -equivariance.

Rank variety

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. We call $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ **semisimple** if $[x, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. By Q_x we denote the quasireductive subgroup of G generated by x . Denote by \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} the set of all odd semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .

A functor $S_x : \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Svect}$ as the composition

$$\text{Rep } G \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Rep } Q_x \xrightarrow{S} \text{Svect},$$

where S is the semisimplification functor. Equivalently,

$$S_x M := \text{Ker } x_M / (xM \cap \text{Ker } x_M).$$

S_x is a symmetric monoidal functor although it is not exact!

Let M be a G -module. We define the support \mathcal{X}_M as

$$\mathcal{X}_M = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} \mid S_x M \neq 0\}.$$

Immediate: $\mathcal{X}_{M \otimes N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cap \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M \oplus N} = \mathcal{X}_M \cup \mathcal{X}_N$, $\mathcal{X}_{M^*} = \mathcal{X}_M$. Need: projectivity detection.

Although \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} and \mathcal{X}_M are not closed in \mathfrak{g}_1 , we should pass to the quotient \mathfrak{g}_1/G_0 using G_0 -equivariance.

Detecting subgroups

Let $K \subset G$ be both quasireductive. Then $\mathcal{O}(G/K) = \text{Ind}_K^G \mathbb{C}$ contains a trivial G -submodule \mathbb{C} . We call K detecting in G if $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G/K)$ splits.

Theorem

The following conditions on the subgroup K in G are equivalent:

- 1 K is detecting;
- 2 Any G -module M splits as a direct summand in $\text{Ind}_K^G M$.
- 3 For any pair of G -modules M, M' , the restriction morphism

$$\text{Ext}_G^i(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_K^i(M, M')$$

is injective for all i .

Example

Let G be a finite group but ground field has a positive characteristic p . Then K is detecting if it contains a p -Sylow subgroup of G .

If K is a detecting subgroup of G , then a G -module M is projective if and only if its restriction to K is projective.

Detecting subgroups

Let $K \subset G$ be both quasireductive. Then $\mathcal{O}(G/K) = \text{Ind}_K^G \mathbb{C}$ contains a trivial G -submodule \mathbb{C} . We call K detecting in G if $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G/K)$ splits.

Theorem

The following conditions on the subgroup K in G are equivalent:

- 1 K is detecting;
- 2 Any G -module M splits as a direct summand in $\text{Ind}_K^G M$.
- 3 For any pair of G -modules M, M' , the restriction morphism

$$\text{Ext}_G^i(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_K^i(M, M')$$

is injective for all i .

Example

Let G be a finite group but ground field has a positive characteristic p . Then K is detecting if it contains a p -Sylow subgroup of G .

If K is a detecting subgroup of G , then a G -module M is projective if and only if its restriction to K is projective.

Detecting subgroups

Let $K \subset G$ be both quasireductive. Then $\mathcal{O}(G/K) = \text{Ind}_K^G \mathbb{C}$ contains a trivial G -submodule \mathbb{C} . We call K detecting in G if $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G/K)$ splits.

Theorem

The following conditions on the subgroup K in G are equivalent:

- 1 K is detecting;
- 2 Any G -module M splits as a direct summand in $\text{Ind}_K^G M$.
- 3 For any pair of G -modules M, M' , the restriction morphism

$$\text{Ext}_G^i(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_K^i(M, M')$$

is injective for all i .

Example

Let G be a finite group but ground field has a positive characteristic p . Then K is detecting if it contains a p -Sylow subgroup of G .

If K is a detecting subgroup of G , then a G -module M is projective if and only if its restriction to K is projective.

Detecting subgroups

Let $K \subset G$ be both quasireductive. Then $\mathcal{O}(G/K) = \text{Ind}_K^G \mathbb{C}$ contains a trivial G -submodule \mathbb{C} . We call K detecting in G if $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G/K)$ splits.

Theorem

The following conditions on the subgroup K in G are equivalent:

- 1 K is detecting;
- 2 Any G -module M splits as a direct summand in $\text{Ind}_K^G M$.
- 3 For any pair of G -modules M, M' , the restriction morphism

$$\text{Ext}_G^i(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_K^i(M, M')$$

is injective for all i .

Example

Let G be a finite group but ground field has a positive characteristic p . Then K is detecting if it contains a p -Sylow subgroup of G .

If K is a detecting subgroup of G , then a G -module M is projective if and only if its restriction to K is projective.

Transitivity. Given $K \subset H \subset G$.

- 1 If K is a detecting subgroup in G , then H is also a detecting subgroup in G .
- 2 If K is a detecting subgroup of H , and H is a detecting subgroup of G , then K is a detecting subgroup of G .

Theorem (I. Entova-Aizenbud-V.S.-A. Sherman)

If K is a detecting subgroup of G then the restriction functor maps negligible morphisms to negligible morphisms. The restriction functor descends to the functor between semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } G$ and $\text{Rep } K$.

A morphism (G -equivariant map) $V \xrightarrow{f} W$ is called **negligible** if for any $W \xrightarrow{g} V$ the supertrace of $f \circ g$ is zero.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman)

Let K be a detecting subgroup of G . Then any G_0 -orbit in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} has non-empty intersection with \mathfrak{k}_1 .

Idea of proof. If $G_0x \cap \mathfrak{k}_1 = \emptyset$ then the corresponding vector field on G/K does not have zeros. Using localization we can prove that $S_x \mathcal{O}(G/K) = 0$.

Transitivity. Given $K \subset H \subset G$.

- 1 If K is a detecting subgroup in G , then H is also a detecting subgroup in G .
- 2 If K is a detecting subgroup of H , and H is a detecting subgroup of G , then K is a detecting subgroup of G .

Theorem (I. Entova-Aizenbud-V.S.-A. Sherman)

If K is a detecting subgroup of G then the restriction functor maps negligible morphisms to negligible morphisms. The restriction functor descends to the functor between semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } G$ and $\text{Rep } K$.

A morphism (G -equivariant map) $V \xrightarrow{f} W$ is called **negligible** if for any $W \xrightarrow{g} V$ the supertrace of $f \circ g$ is zero.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman)

Let K be a detecting subgroup of G . Then any G_0 -orbit in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} has non-empty intersection with \mathfrak{k}_1 .

Idea of proof. If $G_0x \cap \mathfrak{k}_1 = \emptyset$ then the corresponding vector field on G/K does not have zeros. Using localization we can prove that $S_x \mathcal{O}(G/K) = 0$.

Transitivity. Given $K \subset H \subset G$.

- 1 If K is a detecting subgroup in G , then H is also a detecting subgroup in G .
- 2 If K is a detecting subgroup of H , and H is a detecting subgroup of G , then K is a detecting subgroup of G .

Theorem (I. Entova-Aizenbud-V.S.-A. Sherman)

If K is a detecting subgroup of G then the restriction functor maps negligible morphisms to negligible morphisms. The restriction functor descends to the functor between semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } G$ and $\text{Rep } K$.

A morphism (G -equivariant map) $V \xrightarrow{f} W$ is called **negligible** if for any $W \xrightarrow{g} V$ the supertrace of $f \circ g$ is zero.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman)

Let K be a detecting subgroup of G . Then any G_0 -orbit in \mathfrak{g}_1^{ss} has non-empty intersection with \mathfrak{k}_1 .

Idea of proof. If $G_0x \cap \mathfrak{k}_1 = \emptyset$ then the corresponding vector field on G/K does not have zeros. Using localization we can prove that $S_x \mathcal{O}(G/K) = 0$.

Goal: Find small detecting subgroup in G .

- Let $G = GL(m|n)$. When $K = GL(p|q) \times GL(m-p|n-q)$ is detecting?
- Geometric necessary condition implies $\min(p, q) + \min(m-p, n-q) = \min(m, n)$ or, equivalently, $p-q$, $m-n$ and $(m-p) - (n-q)$ are all non-negative or all non-positive.
- **Unitary trick.** The group G has a compact real form U , unitary supergroup. Then the supergrassmannian $M = U/(K \cap U) = Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a closed U -orbit in G/K . It has U -invariant volume form. The integral \int_M defines a U -equivariant map $\mathcal{O}(G/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and hence a G -equivariant map. This map defines a splitting iff the volume of the supergrassmannian M is not zero. We can prove that it is not zero exactly when the necessary condition holds.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman)

The subgroup $GL(p|q) \times GL(m-p|n-q)$ is a detecting subgroup in $GL(m|n)$ if and only if $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a non-zero volume.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & i\bar{B}^t \\ i\bar{C}^t & \bar{D}^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & i\bar{B}^t \\ i\bar{C}^t & \bar{D}^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & i\bar{B}^t \\ i\bar{C}^t & \bar{D}^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & i\bar{B}^t \\ i\bar{C}^t & \bar{D}^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & i\bar{B}^t \\ i\bar{C}^t & \bar{D}^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & \mathbf{i}\bar{B}^t \\ \mathbf{i}\bar{C}^t & \bar{D}^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & \mathbf{i}\bar{B}^t \\ \mathbf{i}\bar{C}^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Supergrassmannians

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ will denote the supermanifold of $(p|q)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$.

Properties

- Underlying manifold $Gr(p, m) \times Gr(q, n)$ is **compact**.
- $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Gr(p|q, m|n) = ((m-p)p + (n-q)q | (m-p)q + p(n-q))$.
- $Gr(p|q, m|n) \simeq Gr(m-p|n-q, m|n)$.
- **Homogeneous** supermanifold $U(m|n)/U(p|q) \times U(m-p|n-q)$ where $U(a|b)$ is the unitary supergroup: preserves Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{a|b}$.
- Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m|n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m|n) \mid X^* = X\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^t & \mathbf{i}\bar{B}^t \\ \mathbf{i}\bar{C}^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x \in \mathfrak{u}(m|n)_{\bar{0}}$ of rank $(p|q)$ and $x^2 = x$. Then $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is isomorphic to the $U(m|n)$ -orbit of x .

- $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ is a **symplectic** supermanifold, the action of $U(m|n)$ is Hamiltonian.

Volume forms and Berezin integral

Berezinian:

$$\text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det D^{-1}.$$

Volume form $\omega = f(x, \xi)d\xi dx$ on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} :

$$f(x, \xi)d\xi dx = \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \xi)}{\partial(y, \eta)} f(y, \eta)d\eta dy.$$

If f is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ with compact support then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} f(x, \xi)d\xi dx := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x, \xi)dx,$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_m), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

To integrate a form over a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we need to use

- Partition of unity.
- Fix orientation of the underlying manifold \mathcal{M}_0 .

Volume forms and Berezin integral

Berezinian:

$$\text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det D^{-1}.$$

Volume form $\omega = f(x, \xi)d\xi dx$ on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} :

$$f(x, \xi)d\xi dx = \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \xi)}{\partial(y, \eta)} f(y, \eta)d\eta dy.$$

If f is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ with compact support then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} f(x, \xi)d\xi dx := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x, \xi)dx,$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_m), \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

To integrate a form over a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we need to use

- Partition of unity.
- Fix orientation of the underlying manifold \mathcal{M}_0 .

Volume forms and Berezin integral

Berezinian:

$$\text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det D^{-1}.$$

Volume form $\omega = f(x, \xi)d\xi dx$ on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} :

$$f(x, \xi)d\xi dx = \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \xi)}{\partial(y, \eta)} f(y, \eta)d\eta dy.$$

If f is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ with compact support then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} f(x, \xi)d\xi dx := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x, \xi)dx,$$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$.

To integrate a form over a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we need to use

- Partition of unity.
- Fix orientation of the underlying manifold \mathcal{M}_0 .

Volume forms and Berezin integral

Berezinian:

$$\text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det D^{-1}.$$

Volume form $\omega = f(x, \xi)d\xi dx$ on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} :

$$f(x, \xi)d\xi dx = \text{Ber} \frac{\partial(x, \xi)}{\partial(y, \eta)} f(y, \eta)d\eta dy.$$

If f is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ with compact support then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} f(x, \xi)d\xi dx := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x, \xi)dx,$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_m), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

To integrate a form over a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we need to use

- Partition of unity.
- Fix orientation of the underlying manifold \mathcal{M}_0 .

Example

(Rudakov) Let $\dim \mathcal{M} = (1|2)$, $\mathcal{M}_0 = (0, 1)$, two coordinate systems (x, ξ_1, ξ_2) and $y = (y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ related by

$$y = x + \xi_1 \xi_2, \quad \eta_i = \xi_i.$$

We have $\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(y, \eta)}{\partial(x, \xi)} = 1$ Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} y d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} (x + \xi_1 \xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 dx = -1.$$

Example

(Rudakov) Let $\dim \mathcal{M} = (1|2)$, $\mathcal{M}_0 = (0, 1)$, two coordinate systems (x, ξ_1, ξ_2) and $y = (y, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ related by

$$y = x + \xi_1 \xi_2, \quad \eta_i = \xi_i.$$

We have $\text{Ber} \frac{\partial(y, \eta)}{\partial(x, \xi)} = 1$ Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} y d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dy = 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}} (x + \xi_1 \xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 dx = -1.$$

Volumes of supergrassmannians

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a symplectic supermanifold with Hamiltonian action of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a canonical \mathfrak{g} -invariant volume form ω on \mathcal{M} .

In Darboux coordinates

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} dx_i \wedge dy_i + \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge d\xi_j,$$

$$\omega = dx_1 \dots dx_{\frac{m}{2}} dy_1 \dots dy_{\frac{m}{2}} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n.$$

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a $U(m|n)$ -invariant volume form unique up to rescaling.

Problem. Compute the volume of $Gr(p|q, m|n)$.

Very often the volume of the compact supermanifold is zero. For example the volume of the supergroup $U(m|n)$ (with respect to Haar volume form) is 0 unless $mn = 0$.

Volumes of supergrassmannians

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a symplectic supermanifold with Hamiltonian action of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a canonical \mathfrak{g} -invariant volume form ω on \mathcal{M} .

In Darboux coordinates

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} dx_i \wedge dy_i + \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge d\xi_j,$$

$$\omega = dx_1 \dots dx_{\frac{m}{2}} dy_1 \dots dy_{\frac{m}{2}} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n.$$

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a $U(m|n)$ -invariant volume form unique up to rescaling.

Problem. Compute the volume of $Gr(p|q, m|n)$.

Very often the volume of the compact supermanifold is zero. For example the volume of the supergroup $U(m|n)$ (with respect to Haar volume form) is 0 unless $mn = 0$.

Volumes of supergrassmannians

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a symplectic supermanifold with Hamiltonian action of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a canonical \mathfrak{g} -invariant volume form ω on \mathcal{M} .

In Darboux coordinates

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} dx_i \wedge dy_i + \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge d\xi_j,$$

$$\omega = dx_1 \dots dx_{\frac{m}{2}} dy_1 \dots dy_{\frac{m}{2}} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n.$$

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a $U(m|n)$ -invariant volume form unique up to rescaling.

Problem. Compute the volume of $Gr(p|q, m|n)$.

Very often the volume of the compact supermanifold is zero. For example the volume of the supergroup $U(m|n)$ (with respect to Haar volume form) is 0 unless $mn = 0$.

Volumes of supergrassmannians

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a symplectic supermanifold with Hamiltonian action of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a canonical \mathfrak{g} -invariant volume form ω on \mathcal{M} .

In Darboux coordinates

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} dx_i \wedge dy_i + \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge d\xi_j,$$

$$\omega = dx_1 \dots dx_{\frac{m}{2}} dy_1 \dots dy_{\frac{m}{2}} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n.$$

$Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a $U(m|n)$ -invariant volume form unique up to rescaling.

Problem. Compute the volume of $Gr(p|q, m|n)$.

Very often the volume of the compact supermanifold is zero. For example the volume of the supergroup $U(m|n)$ (with respect to Haar volume form) is 0 unless $mn = 0$.

Main result

Theorem (V.S.-A.Sherman)

The supergrassmannian $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a non-zero volume if and only if $\min(p, q) + \min(m - p, n - q) = \min(m, n)$ or, equivalently, $p - q$, $m - n$ and $(m - p) - (n - q)$ are all non-negative or all non-positive.

Main tool is Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization formula.

Introduce some notations:

- For a vector field X on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we set $Z(X)$ to be the zero locus of X .
- For a function f with singular point $p \in \mathcal{M}_0$ we denote by $H_p(f) \in S^2(T_p^* \mathcal{M})$ the Hessian of f at p .
- Let V be a vector superspace of superdimension $(r|2s)$ equipped with volume element ω and non-degenerate quadratic form Q . We set

$$\gamma(Q, \omega) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(\dim V_0^+ - \dim V_0^-)}{4}\right) \frac{\text{Pf}(B_1)}{\sqrt{|\det B_0|}},$$

where B is the matrix of Q in the homogeneous basis

$$v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2s} \in V_1$$

such that $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_{2s}) = 1$.

Main result

Theorem (V.S.-A.Sherman)

The supergrassmannian $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a non-zero volume if and only if $\min(p, q) + \min(m - p, n - q) = \min(m, n)$ or, equivalently, $p - q$, $m - n$ and $(m - p) - (n - q)$ are all non-negative or all non-positive.

Main tool is Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization formula.

Introduce some notations:

- For a vector field X on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we set $Z(X)$ to be the zero locus of X .
- For a function f with singular point $p \in \mathcal{M}_0$ we denote by $H_p(f) \in S^2(T_p^* \mathcal{M})$ the Hessian of f at p .
- Let V be a vector superspace of superdimension $(r|2s)$ equipped with volume element ω and non-degenerate quadratic form Q . We set

$$\gamma(Q, \omega) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(\dim V_0^+ - \dim V_0^-)}{4}\right) \frac{\text{Pf}(B_1)}{\sqrt{|\det B_0|}},$$

where B is the matrix of Q in the homogeneous basis

$$v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2s} \in V_1$$

such that $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_{2s}) = 1$.

Main result

Theorem (V.S.-A.Sherman)

The supergrassmannian $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a non-zero volume if and only if $\min(p, q) + \min(m - p, n - q) = \min(m, n)$ or, equivalently, $p - q$, $m - n$ and $(m - p) - (n - q)$ are all non-negative or all non-positive.

Main tool is Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization formula.

Introduce some notations:

- For a vector field X on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we set $Z(X)$ to be the zero locus of X .
- For a function f with singular point $p \in \mathcal{M}_0$ we denote by $H_p(f) \in S^2(T_p^* \mathcal{M})$ the Hessian of f at p .
- Let V be a vector superspace of superdimension $(r|2s)$ equipped with volume element ω and non-degenerate quadratic form Q . We set

$$\gamma(Q, \omega) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(\dim V_0^+ - \dim V_0^-)}{4}\right) \frac{\text{Pf}(B_1)}{\sqrt{|\det B_0|}},$$

where B is the matrix of Q in the homogeneous basis

$$v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2s} \in V_1$$

such that $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_{2s}) = 1$.

Main result

Theorem (V.S.-A.Sherman)

The supergrassmannian $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a non-zero volume if and only if $\min(p, q) + \min(m - p, n - q) = \min(m, n)$ or, equivalently, $p - q$, $m - n$ and $(m - p) - (n - q)$ are all non-negative or all non-positive.

Main tool is Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization formula.

Introduce some notations:

- For a vector field X on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we set $Z(X)$ to be the zero locus of X .
- For a function f with singular point $p \in \mathcal{M}_0$ we denote by $H_p(f) \in S^2(T_p^*\mathcal{M})$ the Hessian of f at p .
- Let V be a vector superspace of superdimension $(r|2s)$ equipped with volume element ω and non-degenerate quadratic form Q . We set

$$\gamma(Q, \omega) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(\dim V_0^+ - \dim V_0^-)}{4}\right) \frac{\text{Pf}(B_1)}{\sqrt{|\det B_0|}},$$

where B is the matrix of Q in the homogeneous basis

$$v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2s} \in V_1$$

such that $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_{2s}) = 1$.

Main result

Theorem (V.S.-A.Sherman)

The supergrassmannian $Gr(p|q, m|n)$ has a non-zero volume if and only if $\min(p, q) + \min(m - p, n - q) = \min(m, n)$ or, equivalently, $p - q$, $m - n$ and $(m - p) - (n - q)$ are all non-negative or all non-positive.

Main tool is Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization formula.

Introduce some notations:

- For a vector field X on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we set $Z(X)$ to be the zero locus of X .
- For a function f with singular point $p \in \mathcal{M}_0$ we denote by $H_p(f) \in S^2(T_p^* \mathcal{M})$ the Hessian of f at p .
- Let V be a vector superspace of superdimension $(r|2s)$ equipped with volume element ω and non-degenerate quadratic form Q . We set

$$\gamma(Q, \omega) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i(\dim V_0^+ - \dim V_0^-)}{4}\right) \frac{\text{Pf}(B_1)}{\sqrt{|\det B_0|}},$$

where B is the matrix of Q in the homogeneous basis

$$v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2s} \in V_1$$

such that $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_{2s}) = 1$.

Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization

Theorem (A.Schwarz-O. Zaboronsky)

Let \mathcal{M} be an oriented compact supermanifold with volume form ω . Assume that X is an odd vector field such that

- $X^2 = \frac{1}{2}[X, X]$ is a compact vector field,
- $X\omega = 0$,
- $Z(X)$ is finite and every $p \in Z(X)$ is an isolated zero of X .

Then there exist an odd function f on M such that

- $X^2 f = 0$,
- the set of singular points of Xf coincides with $Z(X)$.

For any such f we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \omega = \pi^n \sum_{p \in Z(X)} \gamma(H_p(Xf), \omega_p).$$

Note that if $Z(X) \neq \emptyset$ then $\dim \mathcal{M} = (2n|2n)$. If $Z(X) = \emptyset$ for some X then $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \omega = 0$.

Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization

Theorem (A.Schwarz-O. Zaboronsky)

Let \mathcal{M} be an oriented compact supermanifold with volume form ω . Assume that X is an odd vector field such that

- $X^2 = \frac{1}{2}[X, X]$ is a compact vector field,
- $X\omega = 0$,
- $Z(X)$ is finite and every $p \in Z(X)$ is an isolated zero of X .

Then there exist an odd function f on M such that

- $X^2 f = 0$,
- the set of singular points of Xf coincides with $Z(X)$.

For any such f we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \omega = \pi^n \sum_{p \in Z(X)} \gamma(H_p(Xf), \omega_p).$$

Note that if $Z(X) \neq \emptyset$ then $\dim \mathcal{M} = (2n|2n)$. If $Z(X) = \emptyset$ for some X then $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \omega = 0$.

Schwarz-Zaboronsky localization

Theorem (A.Schwarz-O. Zaboronsky)

Let \mathcal{M} be an oriented compact supermanifold with volume form ω . Assume that X is an odd vector field such that

- $X^2 = \frac{1}{2}[X, X]$ is a compact vector field,
- $X\omega = 0$,
- $Z(X)$ is finite and every $p \in Z(X)$ is an isolated zero of X .

Then there exist an odd function f on M such that

- $X^2 f = 0$,
- the set of singular points of Xf coincides with $Z(X)$.

For any such f we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \omega = \pi^n \sum_{p \in Z(X)} \gamma(H_p(Xf), \omega_p).$$

Note that if $Z(X) \neq \emptyset$ then $\dim \mathcal{M} = (2n|2n)$. If $Z(X) = \emptyset$ for some X then $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \omega = 0$.

Example

Let (M_0, Ω_0) be a symplectic manifold and $M := \Pi TM_0$.

- 1 De Rham differential d is an odd vector field on M ,
- 2 Functions on M are differential forms on M_0 ,
- 3 M has a canonical volume form ω via identification $TM \simeq \Pi TM$.

Let X_0 be a compact vector field on M_0 with Hamiltonian h . Then $X = d + i_{X_0}$ is an odd vector field with $X^2 = 2X_0$ and $f := h + \Omega$ is a function on M . It is easy to check that $Xf = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_0} e^{ih} \Omega_0^n = \frac{i^n}{n!} \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^f \omega = \pi^n \frac{i^n}{n!} \sum_{p \in Z(X_0)} \gamma(H_p(h), \Omega_0^n),$$

and the Schwarz-Zaboronsky formula yields to the Duistermaat-Heckman formula.

Example

Let (M_0, Ω_0) be a symplectic manifold and $M := \Pi TM_0$.

- 1 De Rham differential d is an odd vector field on M ,
- 2 Functions on M are differential forms on M_0 ,
- 3 M has a canonical volume form ω via identification $TM \simeq \Pi TM$.

Let X_0 be a compact vector field on M_0 with Hamiltonian h . Then $X = d + i_{X_0}$ is an odd vector field with $X^2 = 2X_0$ and $f := h + \Omega$ is a function on M . It is easy to check that $Xf = 0$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_0} e^{ih} \Omega_0^n = \frac{\mathbf{i}^n}{n!} \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^f \omega = \pi^n \frac{\mathbf{i}^n}{n!} \sum_{p \in Z(X_0)} \gamma(H_p(h), \Omega_0^n),$$

and the Schwarz-Zaboronsky formula yields to the Duistermaat-Heckman formula.

Conjecture

(T. Voronov)

$$\text{Vol } Gr(p|q, m|n) = g_D \frac{G(a+1)G(b+1)}{G(a+b+1)},$$

where $g_{(d_0|d_1)} := \pi^{d_0} 2^{\frac{d_1}{2}}$, $a = p - q$, $b = (m - n) - (p - q)$ and $G(z)$ is the Barnes function:

$$G(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \leq 0, \\ 1, & n = 1, \\ (n-2)!!, & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

- Up to normalization the volume of supergrassmannian $Gr(V, W)$ depends only on superdimension of V and W .
- Universal Deligne's category $GL(t)$, for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ "covers" the categories $\text{Rep } GL(m|n)$ with $m - n = t$.
- The supergrassmannian can be defined in the category $GL(r) \times GL(s)$.
- Can we define the volume?

Conjecture

(T. Voronov)

$$\text{Vol } Gr(p|q, m|n) = g_D \frac{G(a+1)G(b+1)}{G(a+b+1)},$$

where $g_{(d_0|d_1)} := \pi^{d_0} 2^{\frac{d_1}{2}}$, $a = p - q$, $b = (m - n) - (p - q)$ and $G(z)$ is the Barnes function:

$$G(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \leq 0, \\ 1, & n = 1, \\ (n-2)!!, & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

- Up to normalization the volume of supergrassmannian $Gr(V, W)$ depends only on superdimension of V and W .
- Universal Deligne's category $GL(t)$, for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ "covers" the categories $\text{Rep } GL(m|n)$ with $m - n = t$.
- The supergrassmannian can be defined in the category $GL(r) \times GL(s)$.
- Can we define the volume?

Some consequences

- $SL(1|1)^d$ is a detecting subgroup of $GL(m|n)$ where $d = \min(m, n)$. We conjecture that it is a minimal detecting subgroup.
- We can prove that the volume of Q -grassmannian $QGr(p, n)$ is not zero iff $p(n-p)$ is even. Thus, $Q(2)^d$ or $Q(2)^d \times Q(1)$ is a detecting subgroup for $Q(n)$ with $n = 2d$ or, respectively, $n = 2d + 1$.
- We can prove that $\mathcal{X}_M = 0$ iff M is projective for $GL(m|n)$ and $Q(n)$.

We are close to proving

Conjecture

If G is a quasireductive algebraic group and M is a G -module then M is projective if and only if $\mathcal{X}(M) = \{0\}$.

Green correspondence

For a moment let G be a finite group, we work over a ground field of positive characteristic p . Let H be a p -Sylow subgroup and K be the normalizer of H . There is a bijection between indecomposable representations of G of non-zero dimension and indecomposable representations of K of non-zero dimension. This, as noticed by Etingof and Ostrick implies equivalence of semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } K$ and $\text{Rep } G$.

Now go back to supergroups. Let $G = GL(m|n)$, $m \leq n$, $H = GL(1|1)^m$, K be the normalizer of H , K is a semidirect product of H and the symmetric group $S_m \times GL(n-m)$.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman-I. Entova-Aizenbud)

Let V be an indecomposable representation of G of nonzero superdimension. Then exactly one among indecomposable K -components has a non-zero superdimension.

Open questions

- The functor between $\text{Rep } \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Rep } \bar{K}$ is not an equivalence (although equivalence in defect 1 case). It defines a surjective morphism of pro-reductive supergroups $\bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{G}$.
- Generalize unitary trick for other quasireductive supergroups.
- Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. New results: Kapranov-Pimenov, Sam-Snowden.

Green correspondence

For a moment let G be a finite group, we work over a ground field of positive characteristic p . Let H be a p -Sylow subgroup and K be the normalizer of H . There is a bijection between indecomposable representations of G of non-zero dimension and indecomposable representations of K of non-zero dimension. This, as noticed by Etingof and Ostrick implies equivalence of semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } K$ and $\text{Rep } G$.

Now go back to supergroups. Let $G = GL(m|n)$, $m \leq n$, $H = GL(1|1)^m$, K be the normalizer of H , K is a semidirect product of H and the symmetric group $S_m \times GL(n - m)$.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman-I. Entova-Aizenbud)

Let V be an indecomposable representation of G of nonzero superdimension. Then exactly one among indecomposable K -components has a non-zero superdimension.

Open questions

- The functor between $\text{Rep } \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Rep } \bar{K}$ is not an equivalence (although equivalence in defect 1 case). It defines a surjective morphism of pro-reductive supergroups $\bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{G}$.
- Generalize unitary trick for other quasireductive supergroups.
- Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. New results: Kapranov-Pimenov, Sam-Snowden.

Green correspondence

For a moment let G be a finite group, we work over a ground field of positive characteristic p . Let H be a p -Sylow subgroup and K be the normalizer of H . There is a bijection between indecomposable representations of G of non-zero dimension and indecomposable representations of K of non-zero dimension. This, as noticed by Etingof and Ostrick implies equivalence of semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } K$ and $\text{Rep } G$.

Now go back to supergroups. Let $G = GL(m|n)$, $m \leq n$, $H = GL(1|1)^m$, K be the normalizer of H , K is a semidirect product of H and the symmetric group $S_m \times GL(n - m)$.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman-I. Entova-Aizenbud)

Let V be an indecomposable representation of G of nonzero superdimension. Then exactly one among indecomposable K -components has a non-zero superdimension.

Open questions

- The functor between $\text{Rep } \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Rep } \bar{K}$ is not an equivalence (although equivalence in defect 1 case). It defines a surjective morphism of pro-reductive supergroups $\bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{G}$.
- Generalize unitary trick for other quasireductive supergroups.
- Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. New results: Kapranov-Pimenov, Sam-Snowden.

Green correspondence

For a moment let G be a finite group, we work over a ground field of positive characteristic p . Let H be a p -Sylow subgroup and K be the normalizer of H . There is a bijection between indecomposable representations of G of non-zero dimension and indecomposable representations of K of non-zero dimension. This, as noticed by Etingof and Ostrick implies equivalence of semisimplifications of $\text{Rep } K$ and $\text{Rep } G$.

Now go back to supergroups. Let $G = GL(m|n)$, $m \leq n$, $H = GL(1|1)^m$, K be the normalizer of H , K is a semidirect product of H and the symmetric group $S_m \times GL(n - m)$.

Theorem (V.S.-A. Sherman-I. Entova-Aizenbud)

Let V be an indecomposable representation of G of nonzero superdimension. Then exactly one among indecomposable K -components has a non-zero superdimension.

Open questions

- The functor between $\text{Rep } \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Rep } \bar{K}$ is not an equivalence (although equivalence in defect 1 case). It defines a surjective morphism of pro-reductive supergroups $\bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{G}$.
- Generalize unitary trick for other quasireductive supergroups.
- Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. New results: Kapranov–Pimenov, Sam-Snowden.

Happy Birthday!



Вся моя интеллектуальная жизнь была сформирована тем, что я условно стал называть Просвещенческим проектом. Его основная посылка состояла в вере, что человеческий разум имеет высшую ценность, а распространение науки и просвещения само по себе неизбежно приведет к тому, что лучшие, чем мы, люди, будут жить в лучшем, чем мы, обществе.

Ничто из того, что я наблюдал вокруг себя в течение двух третей прошлого века и подходящего к концу десятилетия нового века, не оправдывало этой веры.

И все же я верю в Просвещенческий проект.